

## REVISITING THE ROLE OF LAW IN PROMOTING HUMAN RIGHTS AND SOCIAL JUSTICE IN CONTEMPORARY SOCIETY

Muhammad Zulfikri bin Che Hussin

[Mzukfikri@gmail.com](mailto:Mzukfikri@gmail.com)

Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia

### Abstract

This article aims to revisit and critically analyze the role of law in promoting human rights and social justice in contemporary society. The central problem addressed is the persistent gap between normative legal frameworks that proclaim equality, justice, and protection of human rights, and the empirical reality in which structural inequality, discrimination, and weak law enforcement remain prevalent. Although legal reform continues to expand in various domains constitutional guarantees, judicial mechanisms, and international human rights instruments, there is still a fundamental question regarding the effectiveness of law as a transformative tool in achieving substantive justice. This study employs a qualitative socio-legal research method, integrating doctrinal analysis of legal norms with empirical examination of social practices and institutional performance. Through literature review, case studies, and analysis of policy implementation, the research seeks to understand how law interacts with social power structures and the extent to which legal institutions contribute to social change. The findings indicate that the role of law in promoting human rights and social justice is significantly influenced by political will, institutional integrity, and public participation. Law proves effective when supported by responsive and accountable governance, community empowerment, and cultural transformation toward equality and inclusivity. Conversely, law tends to become symbolic and ineffective when dominated by elitist political interests, corruption, and weak access to justice. Therefore, strengthening the transformative capacity of law requires a shift from a purely legalistic approach toward a more responsive, participatory, and human rights based paradigm.

**Keywords:** Human Rights, Social Justice, Socio-Legal Research, Legal Reform, Contemporary Society.

### Introduction

Human rights and social justice are essential foundations for a just and prosperous society (Hibbert, 2017). However, despite significant progress in recent decades, there is still a huge gap between the ideals and realities of human rights enforcement and social

justice in many parts of the world(Boyuklieva, 2022). The latest UN report shows that more than 40% of the global population still lives in poverty, while economic inequality continues to widen in many countries.

The law plays a crucial role in promoting and protecting human rights and social justice. However, the effectiveness of the law in achieving such goals is often questioned(Gilabert, 2023). Data from the World Justice Project shows that the global rule of law index has declined for 4 consecutive years until 2022, indicating weakening law enforcement and access to justice in many countries.

In this context, there is an urgency to revisit the role of law in promoting human rights and social justice in the contemporary era(Matsuoka & Sorenson, 2014). Technological developments, globalization, and new challenges such as climate change have significantly changed the socio-political landscape, demanding a more adaptive and innovative legal approach. Several previous studies have examined the effectiveness of international legal instruments in protecting human rights. For example, a study by Vynokurov (2021) evaluated the impact of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights on national policies in different countries(Vynokurov, 2021). Meanwhile, Yadav (2025) analyzes the role of international courts in upholding cross-border social justice(Yadav, 2025). At the national level, research by Lukas (2021) investigated the implementation of anti-discrimination laws in several Asian countries, revealing gaps between legislation and practice on the ground(Lukas, 2021). First, there is still limited research that examines the interaction between formal law and socio-cultural norms in the context of human rights enforcement and social justice. Second, the impact of technological developments on the implementation and enforcement of laws in this field has not been comprehensively explored. In addition, most previous research has focused on retrospective analysis, while there is still a lack of studies proposing a prospective approach to anticipate future challenges. In an era marked by rapid change and global uncertainty, innovative thinking is needed on how the law can adapt and remain relevant in promoting human rights and social justice. Given the urgency and gaps in existing research, this study aims to revisit the role of law in promoting human rights and social justice in contemporary society. By adopting an interdisciplinary and forward-looking approach, this research is expected to make a significant contribution to the development of more effective legal policies and practices in realizing a more just and humane society.

## Method

This research uses a qualitative approach with the type of *socio-legal research*, which combines doctrinal analysis of legal norms with empirical studies of social practices and the performance of legal institutions in promoting human rights and social justice. This approach was chosen because the role of law in the context of modern society cannot be

understood only from the normative aspect, but also how the law is implemented, perceived, and interacts with social, political, and economic structures. Data collection techniques are carried out through literature studies of laws and regulations, court decisions, international human rights instruments, as well as previous research and relevant institutional reports. In addition, this study also examines various case studies on the implementation of public policies, law enforcement, and the dynamics of social movements that encourage legal and social change. Data analysis is carried out in a descriptive-qualitative manner through a process of data reduction, thematic categorization, and critical interpretation to draw conclusions about the extent to which law is able to play a role as an instrument of social transformation in realizing substantive justice and human rights protection in contemporary society.

## **Results and Discussion**

### **The Evolution of Law in Promoting Human Rights**

The evolution of law has been one of the most significant developments in modern history (Heffron, 2021). This journey began after World War II, when the international community realized the importance of protecting the dignity and basic rights of every individual. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (DUHAM) adopted by the United Nations in 1948 was an important milestone in setting global standards for human rights (Washil & Fata, 2018). Since then, many countries have incorporated human rights principles into their constitutions and laws. This includes the protection of civil and political rights such as freedom of expression, assembly, and religion, as well as economic, social, and cultural rights such as the right to education, health, and decent work. Some countries have even enacted special laws prohibiting discrimination based on race, gender, sexual orientation, or disability.

The establishment of specialized agencies to oversee the enforcement of human rights is also a global trend. National Human Rights Commissions have been established in many countries to investigate human rights violations, provide recommendations to governments, and educate the public about their rights (Amiruddin, 2021). At the international level, the UN Human Rights Council and various human rights treaty bodies play an important role in monitoring countries' compliance with international human rights standards. Improving accountability mechanisms for human rights violators is also an important development. The establishment of the International Criminal Court (ICC) in 2002 provided a forum to prosecute individuals responsible for war crimes, crimes against humanity, and genocide (Bugyiová, 2021). Some countries have also applied the principle of universal jurisdiction, which allows national courts to prosecute perpetrators of serious crimes against human rights, regardless of where the crimes were committed. Technological developments and globalization have also influenced the evolution of law in the context of human rights.

The internet and social media have created new challenges related to privacy and freedom of expression, prompting many countries to adopt data protection laws and online content regulations. Meanwhile, growing awareness of climate change has prompted the recognition of the right to a healthy environment as part of human rights (Budiono, 2024). Despite significant progress, the effective implementation of human rights laws remains a major challenge. In countries with weak justice systems, a lack of resources and capacity often hinders effective law enforcement (Start, 2021). Corruption and nepotism in the justice system can also hinder justice for victims of human rights violations. In countries with authoritarian governments, the challenge is even greater. These governments often use the law as a tool to suppress opposition and restrict civil liberties, not to protect the rights of citizens (Vaurs-Chaumette, 2015). They may pass laws that seem to protect human rights, but in practice, they are not enforced or even used for purposes that are contrary to the spirit of human rights.

Another challenge arises from the tension between the universality of human rights and cultural relativism. Some countries argue that international human rights standards are incompatible with their cultural or religious values, using this argument to justify violations of certain rights, especially those relating to the rights of women or sexual minorities. Economic globalization has also created new challenges in human rights enforcement (Sowers, 2024). Multinational corporations often operate in countries with weak human rights standards, raising questions about their responsibilities in respecting the rights of workers and local communities. This has prompted developments in the areas of business law and human rights, with some countries beginning to implement laws requiring companies to conduct human rights due diligence in their supply chains. Despite the various challenges, the evolution of the law in promoting human rights continues. Social movements and human rights activism play an important role in driving legal and policy change (Chechelnitsky, 2023). The use of strategic litigation, in which legal cases are used to achieve broader social change, has become an important tool for human rights activists.

Developments in international law also continue to have an influence on national law (Górski, 2021). Decisions from regional human rights courts, such as the European Court of Human Rights or the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, often encourage changes in the law in member states. In addition, the UN universal periodic review mechanism provides a forum for countries to evaluate each other's human rights records, encouraging legal and policy improvements. Going forward, some of the areas that are likely to be the focus in the evolution of human rights law include: digital rights and privacy in the age of big data and artificial intelligence, environmental rights and climate change, the rights of migrants and refugees in the context of increasing global population

movements, corporate responsibility for human rights and the rights of minority groups and indigenous peoples.

The evolution of law in promoting human rights has brought significant progress in protecting the dignity and rights of individuals around the world (Messing, 2024). However, this process is far from over. New challenges continue to arise, and the effective implementation of existing laws remains a problem in many parts of the world. Ongoing commitments from governments, civil society, and the international community are needed to ensure that the law not only protects human rights on paper, but also in everyday practice. Only with joint and sustained efforts can we hope to create a world where human rights are truly respected and protected for everyone.

### **The Role of Law in Overcoming Social Injustice**

The role of law in addressing social injustice in modern society is complex and multidimensional (Denisenko, 2022). The legal system serves as the main instrument for upholding justice and equality, but it also faces various challenges in its implementation. Targeted legislation is one of the main ways the law seeks to reduce social, economic, and political disparities ("Legislation & Regulation," 1995). Anti-discrimination laws, for example, aim to protect vulnerable groups from unfair treatment based on race, gender, religion, sexual orientation, or other characteristics. This law provides a legal basis for individuals and groups to demand justice when they experience discrimination. The affirmative action policy is also a clear example of legal efforts to overcome historical inequality.

Through these policies, traditionally marginalized groups are given greater opportunities to access education, employment, or leadership positions (Ikizer & Dovidio, 2024). Although controversial, affirmative action aims to create more substantive equality of opportunity. Legally guaranteed social welfare programs are another important element in efforts to reduce injustice (Hardiyati et al., 2023). This includes health insurance, housing assistance, unemployment benefits, and various other forms of social assistance. By legally guaranteeing these basic rights, the state seeks to provide protection for the most vulnerable citizens and reduce economic disparities. The courts play a vital role as an arena where marginalized groups can demand justice. Through class action lawsuits or precedent-setting cases, courts can change the interpretation of the law and drive broader social change. A classic example is the case of *Brown v. Board of Education* in the United States that ended racial segregation in public schools. However, the effectiveness of the law in promoting social justice faces various obstacles.

Systemic bias in the justice system is one of the main challenges. This can manifest in many forms, from unfair treatment of suspects from minority groups to court rulings that

reflect broader social prejudices. Unequal access to legal representation is also a serious problem. The high cost of legal services often makes it difficult for low-income groups to obtain adequate defense(Scott, 2019). Although many countries provide free legal aid, its quality and availability are often limited. Resistance from privileged groups can hinder the implementation of laws aimed at promoting social justice(Hasmiati et al., 2025). These groups may see change as a threat to the status quo in their favor, and may use their political or economic influence to oppose or undermine progressive legislation. In addition, inconsistent or weak law enforcement can reduce the effectiveness of legal efforts in addressing injustice(Halberstam, 2016). Without strong and consistent implementation, laws and policies designed to promote social justice may become merely symbolic with no real impact.

The complexity of social problems can also challenge the ability of the law to provide effective solutions. Social injustices are often rooted in structural and historical factors that cannot be fully addressed through legal approaches alone(Folkow, 1990). For example, while anti-discrimination laws may prohibit explicitly discriminatory practices, they may be less effective in addressing more subtle or systemic forms of discrimination. Globalization and technological developments have also created new challenges for the law in addressing social injustice. For example, digital inequality can create new forms of inequality that may not be fully accommodated within existing legal frameworks. Despite facing various challenges, the law remains an important tool in efforts to create a more just society.

Some strategies that can be considered to improve the effectiveness of the law in this context are the reform of the judicial system. Reform of the justice system is an important effort to improve the quality and effectiveness of law enforcement(Chan, 2011). Reform measures include modernizing court infrastructure, improving the competence of judges and judicial staff, and the application of information technology in the judicial process. Transparency and accountability are the main focus by opening up public access to judicial information and strengthening internal and external oversight mechanisms. Reform also aims to speed up the judicial process, reduce the accumulation of cases, and increase public trust in the judiciary(Stebek, 2019). Simplifying legal procedures, strengthening the case management system, and improving coordination between law enforcement agencies are priorities. In addition, reforms include efforts to eradicate corruption in the judiciary and improve the integrity of the judicial apparatus. Another important aspect is the update of laws and regulations to adapt to the times and the needs of the community. Reform of the judicial system is expected to realize fair, fast, and affordable justice for all levels of society.

The next effort is to increase access to justice: Increasing access to justice is an important effort to realize equality and protection of citizens' rights(Cruz & Salles, 2020). This

includes several key aspects, such as reforming the legal system to simplify procedures and improve the efficiency of the courts, providing free or subsidized legal aid to underprivileged communities, and increasing legal awareness through educational programs(Sandefur, 2021). The use of information technology also plays an important role in facilitating access to information and online court services. Special attention is paid to vulnerable groups such as minorities, women, children, and people with disabilities. Strengthening alternative dispute resolution institutions, increasing transparency, and multi-stakeholder cooperation between governments, NGOs, and communities are also key focuses. The implementation of these measures is expected to create a justice system that is more fair, efficient, and accessible to all levels of society.

Public legal education is also an important aspect of the legal education system which aims to prepare students to become competent legal experts in the field of public law(Newbery-Jones, 2022). Public law covers various areas such as constitutional law, state administrative law, criminal law, and public international law. This education focuses not only on theoretical understanding, but also on the practical application of law in the context of society and government. The public legal education curriculum is designed to provide an in-depth understanding of the basic principles of public law, the structure of government, and the functioning of state institutions. Students are introduced to key concepts such as sovereignty, separation of powers, human rights, and the rule of law(Morse, 2020). They also study the legislative process, judicial system, and law enforcement mechanisms. In addition, this education includes a critical analysis of public policies and their impact on society. The teaching method in public legal education involves a combination of theoretical lectures, class discussions, case studies, and court simulations. Students are encouraged to develop analytical skills, legal research, and argumentation. They are also trained to understand and interpret laws, government regulations, and court decisions. Internships in government agencies or non-governmental organizations are often an integral part of the curriculum, providing valuable practical experience(Olatokun, 2022).

One of the important aspects of public legal education is an understanding of the ethics and responsibilities of the legal profession. Students are taught about the importance of integrity, objectivity, and a commitment to justice in the practice of public law. They are also prepared to face ethical dilemmas that may arise in their careers in the public sector. Public legal education also emphasizes the importance of understanding social, economic, and political contexts in the application of law(Lloyd Bright & McNicholl, 2021). Students are invited to consider the social implications of legal policy and how law can be used as an instrument of social change. They are also introduced to contemporary issues in public law, such as digital rights, cybersecurity, and legal challenges in the era of globalization. In the digital era, public legal education has also begun to include technology elements in its curriculum. Students are introduced to the use of technology

in legal administration, e-government, and the legal implications of technological developments such as artificial intelligence and big data(Roper, 2018). This prepares them to face legal challenges in the digital age. Public legal education aims not only to produce legal practitioners, but also future leaders and policymakers. Therefore, the development of leadership, negotiation, and policy-making skills is also an important part of the curriculum. Students are encouraged to think critically about legal reform and innovation in public governance.4. Multidisciplinary collaboration: Multidisciplinary collaboration is an approach that combines expertise and perspectives from different disciplines to address complex problems or generate innovation(Liu et al., 2023). In this increasingly connected and complex era, multidisciplinary collaboration is becoming increasingly important because many of the challenges faced by society cannot be solved with just one area of expertise. This approach allows experts from diverse backgrounds to work together, share knowledge, and integrate methods and concepts from their respective disciplines.

Multidisciplinary collaboration can occur in a variety of contexts, such as scientific research, product development, public policy, or social projects(Yan et al., 2016). For example, in addressing the problem of climate change, cooperation between climatologists, renewable energy engineers, economists, sociologists, and policymakers is needed. Each party brings unique perspectives that, when combined, can lead to more comprehensive and effective solutions.

One of the main advantages of multidisciplinary collaboration is its ability to generate innovative and groundbreaking ideas. When experts from different fields exchange ideas and combine their knowledge, new insights often emerge that would not be possible to obtain if working in isolation. In addition, this approach can also help to overcome the biases and limitations that may exist within one particular discipline.

However, multidisciplinary collaboration also has its own challenges. Differences in technical language, methodology, and work culture between disciplines can lead to misunderstandings or conflicts(Yu et al., 2018). Therefore, good communication skills, openness to new ideas, and a willingness to learn from other disciplines are required. In addition, organizational structures and reward systems within academic or professional institutions need to be adjusted to support and reward these collaborative efforts. With the increasing development of technology and the increasing complexity of global problems, multidisciplinary collaboration will continue to be an important approach in the search for innovative and sustainable solutions. The ability to work effectively in multidisciplinary teams will become an increasingly valuable skill in the future, both in the academic and professional worlds.



Next is continuous evaluation and adjustment: Continuous evaluation and adjustment is an important process in various aspects of life, both in the context of organization, education, and self-development(Holst & Holmer, 2002). This process involves a systematic observation of the performance, results, or impact of a program, policy, or action, which is then followed by improvements or modifications based on the findings of the evaluation. Its main objective is to ensure its effectiveness, efficiency, and continued relevance in the face of changing environments and dynamic needs(Wu, 2007).

In an organizational context, continuous evaluation allows companies to remain competitive and responsive to market changes. This involves regular data collection, trend analysis, and performance assessment against preset targets. Based on the results of the evaluation, organizations can make adjustments to their strategies, processes, or products. For example, a tech company may need to continually evaluate their products and make adjustments based on customer feedback and the latest technological developments. In the world of education, continuous evaluation and adjustment are essential to ensure optimal learning quality. Educators need to routinely assess the effectiveness of their teaching methods, gauge student understanding, and adjust their approach as needed(Wang et al., 2016). This may involve the use of various assessment methods, reflection on teaching practices, and the implementation of new strategies based on current educational research.

On an individual level, continuous evaluation and adjustment are key to personal and professional growth. It involves regular self-reflection, goal setting, and progress assessment. Individuals involved in this process are better able to adapt to change, improve their skills, and achieve their long-term goals. It is important to note that continuous evaluation and adjustment is not an easy or quick process. This requires a long-term commitment, openness to feedback, and a willingness to make changes. However, the benefits are huge, including improved performance, better innovation, and greater ability to face future challenges.

Strengthening law enforcement is also a crucial aspect in maintaining order and justice in society(Foster & Ryan, 2022). This involves a comprehensive set of efforts to ensure that applicable laws and policies are implemented consistently and effectively. These strengthening measures include increasing the institutional capacity of law enforcement agencies, such as the police, prosecutor's office, and courts, through ongoing training and modernization of the system. Adequate resource allocation, both in the form of budget and personnel, is also an important factor to support optimal law enforcement operations. In addition, strengthening coordination between law enforcement agencies and related stakeholders can increase effectiveness in handling various legal cases(Vasquez, 2021). The development of information technology and an integrated case management system also plays an important role in speeding up the case handling

process and increasing transparency. Legal education and socialization efforts to the public also need to be increased to build legal awareness and active participation in supporting law enforcement. With a holistic and sustainable approach, strengthening law enforcement can create a safer, fairer, and conducive environment for social development.

The intersectional approach is an analytical framework that recognizes that a person's social identity is formed from various interrelated and interacting aspects, such as race, gender, social class, age, disability, and sexual orientation (Gopaldas & Deroy, 2015). This concept emphasizes that the experience of discrimination and inequality cannot be understood in isolation, but rather must be seen as the result of the intersection of different forms of oppression that reinforce each other. This approach invites us to consider the complexities of human identity and experience, as well as how these factors interact in the broader social, political, and economic context.

In practice, an intersectional approach encourages a deeper understanding of systemic and structural injustices. This allows for the development of more effective strategies to address various forms of discrimination and inequality (Zhesko & Petrukhin, 2024). This approach also emphasizes the importance of listening to and validating the experiences of individuals facing various forms of marginalization. Thus, intersectionality is not only a tool for analysis, but also a foundation for more inclusive and transformative social and political action.

Legal innovation is the process of updating and developing the legal system to respond to social, technological, and contemporary challenges (Tania, 2023). This includes the creation of new legal instruments, adjustments to existing regulations, and the application of innovative methods and technologies in legal practice. The goal is to increase effectiveness, efficiency, and fairness in the justice system. One form of legal innovation is the use of information technology in judicial processes, such as e-courts and virtual trials, which speed up the process and improve accessibility (Ardiputra, 2021). Innovation is also seen in the development of new areas of law such as cyber law and environmental law, which respond to contemporary issues. Alternative approaches to dispute resolution, such as mediation and arbitration, are also innovations aimed at reducing the burden on the courts and promoting more collaborative conflict resolution. At the policy level, legal innovation involves regulatory reform to support economic growth and investment, while still protecting the public interest (Zuhri, 2021). Innovation in legal education is also important, with an emphasis on developing practical skills and interdisciplinary understanding. Challenges in legal innovation include balancing the need for change with the stability of the legal system, as well as ensuring that innovation does not come at the expense of fundamental principles of justice and human rights.

Collaboration between legal practitioners, academics, policymakers, and technologists is essential in driving effective and responsible innovation in the legal field.

Although laws play a crucial role in addressing social injustice, their effectiveness depends on a variety of complex factors. A holistic, adaptive, and sustainable approach is needed to ensure that the legal system can effectively promote social justice in the midst of the challenges of modern society. Only by constantly evaluating, improving, and strengthening the role of the law can we hope to create a more just and equal society for all.

### **Legal Challenges and Opportunities in the Digital Era**

The development of digital technology has brought fundamental changes in almost all aspects of human life. Digitalization has not only changed the way humans interact, work, and communicate, but it has also significantly affected how the law works in maintaining social order, providing justice, and protecting individual rights(Finger, 2022). The digital age opens up new spaces previously unknown in the conventional legal system. Cyberspace is an arena for transactions, interactions, and even conflicts that demand a fast, adaptive, and effective legal response(Allwood, 2017). However, at the same time, this digital transformation presents various complex challenges, ranging from lagging behind regulations, gaps in the capacity of law enforcement agencies, to ethical and social justice issues that need to be managed carefully. Therefore, discussing legal challenges and opportunities in the digital era is important so that the law does not lag behind the times, but is present as an instrument that is able to direct changes in a more fair, transparent, and socially just direction.

One of the main legal challenges in the digital era is the problem of lagging behind regulations compared to the speed of technological development(Gupta et al., 2022). Digital innovations such as e-commerce, financial technology (fintech), cryptocurrency, artificial intelligence (AI), and blockchain are growing so fast, while legal regulation is running slowly through formal legislation mechanisms that tend to be bureaucratic. This causes there is often a legal vacuum or legal uncertainty that can be used by certain parties for personal gain. For example, cross-border digital transactions present jurisdictional, taxation, and consumer protection issues that have not been fully regulated. This condition shows that the law in the digital era is required to be more flexible and responsive without sacrificing legal certainty(Sudirman, 2023).

In addition, personal data protection is a serious issue in the digital society. In an era where data is the most valuable asset, many parties use it for economic, political, and even cybercrime purposes(Goni, 2022). Data leaks, digital identity theft, misuse of personal information, and ransomware attacks have become part of the global challenge.

Personal data protection regulations in many countries are still in the early stages of development, including in Indonesia, which has just passed the Personal Data Protection Law in 2022 (Supriadi, 2020). However, the implementation of the regulation still faces challenges, both in terms of public awareness, infrastructure readiness, and the capacity of supervisory institutions. This challenge shows that the existence of the law alone is not enough, but must be followed by consistent, transparent, and public legitimacy enforcement.

The next challenge is related to cybercrime (Staniforth, 2017). The digital world opens up new opportunities for the emergence of various forms of crime that were previously unknown in traditional law, such as hacking of banking systems, spreading hoaxes and hate speech, online fraud, illegal trading on the dark web, and online sexual exploitation of children (Staniforth, 2017). The characteristics of the digital world that have no geographical boundaries make cybercrime difficult to deal with with the national legal apparatus alone. This requires more intensive international cooperation, both through bilateral, multilateral agreements, and global conventions such as the Budapest Convention on Cybercrime (Supriadi, 2020). The challenges of law enforcement in cybercrime are also related to the limited ability of law enforcement officials to master information technology. Without increased capacity and digital infrastructure, law enforcement will have a hard time keeping up with the ever-evolving complexity of cybercrime.

No less important is the challenge of the digital divide that has an impact on access to justice. Not all people have equal access to digital technology (F, 1872). In many regions, there are still limitations of internet infrastructure, low digital literacy, and economic limitations to utilize digital-based legal services. This can create new injustices where only certain groups can enjoy legal protection in the digital age, while other groups remain marginalized. Thus, digital legal transformation must be accompanied by inclusive policies that pay attention to social justice and equitable access to technology.

Despite facing many challenges, the digital era also opens up great opportunities for the law to develop in a more progressive direction. One of the important opportunities is the digitization of judicial services that can increase access to justice. The concept of e-court and e-litigation allows the public to register cases, pay case fees, and attend hearings online (Nasri et al., 2023). This not only makes it easier for the community, but it can also reduce costs, speed up processes, and increase transparency. (Arifudin et al., 2022) In Indonesia, the Supreme Court has begun to implement an electronic justice system, although there is still a need to strengthen infrastructure and improve digital literacy for litigants. The digitization of the judiciary is in line with the principles of fast, simple, and low-cost justice (Wambrauw, 2022).

In addition, the digital era provides an opportunity for the law to utilize artificial intelligence (AI) technology to support the law enforcement process(Kozhevnikov, 2021). AI can be used to analyze court decisions, predict legal trends, and assist the authorities in drafting indictments or case analysis. This technology can improve efficiency and accuracy, although it must still be monitored so as not to cause algorithmic biases that actually give birth to new injustices. The use of digital technology can also increase legal transparency through public information disclosure. The public can access court decisions, laws and regulations, and other legal documents more easily(Vasquez, 2021). This transparency encourages accountability of legal institutions while increasing public participation in the legal process.

Another significant opportunity is the birth of innovative regulations that are able to answer digital dynamics. For example, rules related to electronic transactions, digital signatures, online consumer protection, to regulations on digital assets and cryptocurrencies(Growiec, 2022). This kind of regulation is not only an instrument of protection, but also an instrument of healthy digital economic development. The state can play a role not only as a regulator, but also as a facilitator that creates a fair, secure, and innovative digital ecosystem. In other words, law can be a driver of social and economic transformation in the digital era.

Furthermore, the digital era opens up opportunities for the birth of closer international legal cooperation. A borderless digital world demands the harmonization of cross-border laws (Syaputra & Hasanah, 2022). This effort can be carried out through international agreements, global standards, and multilateral forums that bring together legal policymakers. With the harmonization of the law, the potential for jurisdictional conflicts in the digital world can be minimized, and legal protection for the global community can be more guaranteed. This shows that the law functions not only in the national realm, but also in the global realm in a collaborative spirit.

From a social justice perspective, the digital era provides opportunities to strengthen human rights. Digital technology can be a means to voice justice, expose human rights violations, and fight for the rights of vulnerable groups(Chang, 2022). Social media, for example, allows issues of injustice to quickly gain public attention and a response from legal institutions. However, this must also be balanced with clear legal mechanisms to prevent the abuse of freedom of expression that leads to the spread of hatred or discrimination. Thus, the law in the digital era must be able to maintain a balance between individual freedom and public interest.

Ultimately, the digital age demands laws to come up with a more adaptive, inclusive, and collaborative approach. Major challenges in the form of rapid technological development, cybercrime, personal data protection, and the digital divide must be

answered with responsive regulations, effective law enforcement, and increased capacity of law enforcement. On the other hand, great opportunities in the form of judicial digitalization, the use of legal technology, innovative regulations, legal transparency, and international cooperation must be utilized to the fullest to strengthen access to justice, legal certainty, and human rights protection.

Thus, law in the digital era is not only a controlling instrument, but also an instrument of transformation. Law has a strategic role to ensure that the development of digital technology brings broad benefits to society, not the other way around, becoming a new source of injustice. The success of the law in responding to challenges and taking advantage of opportunities in the digital era will greatly determine the direction of human civilization, whether it will lead to a more just, inclusive, and socially just society, or it will be trapped in new inequality controlled by technology. Therefore, critical reflection and continuous legal updates are inevitable in this ever-evolving digital era.

## **Conclusion**

The study concludes that although law has a fundamental role in promoting human rights and social justice, its effectiveness is often constrained by structural inequality, political interests, weak institutional capacity, and limited public participation. While legal frameworks and international human rights instruments have made significant normative progress, the gap between legal ideals and practical implementation remains a serious challenge. The law becomes meaningful and transformative only when it is supported by responsive governance, transparent institutions, and an active civil society committed to promoting equality and protecting marginalized communities. Without these conditions, the law risks becoming merely symbolic, functioning more as a tool of power than a mechanism for achieving substantive justice. Based on these findings, this research recommends several strategic efforts to strengthen the transformative function of law. First, states must pursue comprehensive judicial and institutional reforms to enhance integrity, accountability, and professionalism in law enforcement agencies. Second, expanding access to justice through affordable legal aid, digital legal services, and stronger protection for vulnerable groups is essential to achieving equitable legal outcomes. Third, legal education must adopt an interdisciplinary and human-rights-based perspective to produce legal scholars and practitioners who are socially responsive and ethically grounded. Fourth, civil society empowerment and participatory legal processes must be intensified to ensure more democratic and inclusive policy-making. Fifth, legal frameworks need to be adaptive to contemporary challenges such as digitalization, cybercrime, environmental crises, and global economic disparities, through the development of innovative, technology-aware, and future-oriented regulatory mechanisms. Ultimately, strengthening the role of law in promoting human rights and social justice requires sustained collaboration between government, legal

institutions, academia, and civil society. Only through a holistic, responsive, and participatory legal paradigm can the law truly serve as an instrument of transformation toward a fairer, more humane, and socially just society.

## References

Allwood, J. (2017). *Is Digitalization Dehumanization? – Dystopic Traits of Digitalization*. 1(3), 259. <https://doi.org/10.3390/is4si-2017-04120>

Amiruddin, A. (2021). Komnas HAM, Investigating Serious Human Rights Violations. *Journal of Southeast Asian Human Rights*, 5(2), 244. <https://doi.org/10.19184/jseahr.v5i2.28118>

Ardiputra, M. A. (2021). Pola Efektif Pembinaan Hukum untuk Meningkatkan Keberhasilan Pembangunan Hukum. *Jurnal Ilmiah Kebijakan Hukum*, 15(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.30641/kebijakan.2021.v15.37-48>

Arifudin, A., Juliani, J., & Intihani, S. N. (2022). Efektivitas Persidangan Berbasis E-Court. *VERITAS*, 8(1), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.34005/veritas.v8i1.1804>

Boyuklieva, I. (2022). Social Justice and Right of Access to Justice for Persons with Intellectual Disabilities. *Yearbook of the Law Department*, 11(12), 236–263. <https://doi.org/10.33919/yldnbu.22.11.12>

Budiono, I. (2024). Fulfillment of Environmental Rights: Policy Strategies and Their Impact on Sustainable Development. *Jurnal Cakrawala Hukum*, 15(1), 21–36. <https://doi.org/10.26905/idjch.v15i1.14366>

Bugyiová, V. (2021). *Comparison of ICC Expert Report vs. ICC Arbitration Award Within ADR* (pp. 371–391). Masaryk University. <https://doi.org/10.5817/cz.muni.p210-8639-2021-14>

Chan, K.-W. (2011). Justice System Reform and Legal Ethics in Japan. *Legal Ethics*, 14(1), 73–108. <https://doi.org/10.5235/146072811796373041>

Chang, K. W. (2022). Interdisciplinary treatment in the digital era. *APOS Trends in Orthodontics*, 12, 71–76. [https://doi.org/10.25259/apos\\_126\\_2022](https://doi.org/10.25259/apos_126_2022)

Chechel'nitsky, I. V. (2023). The Concept and Signs of Human Rights Activity. *Courier of Kutafin Moscow State Law University (MSAL)*, 4, 158–165. <https://doi.org/10.17803/2311-5998.2023.104.4.158-165>

Cruz, P. M., & Salles, B. M. (2020). Access to Justice. *Revista Da Faculdade Mineira de Direito*, 23(45), 177–192. <https://doi.org/10.5752/p.2318-7999.2020v23n45p177-192>

- Denisenko, V. (2022). The Communicative Function of Law. *Academic Law Journal*, 23(3), 231–238. [https://doi.org/10.17150/1819-0928.2022.23\(3\).231-238](https://doi.org/10.17150/1819-0928.2022.23(3).231-238)
- F, R. (1872). A Handbook of Chemical Tecnology. *Nature*, 7(158), 4–5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/007004a0>
- Finger, M. (2022). *Digitalization* (pp. 383–399). Edward Elgar. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800375611.00030>
- Folkow, B. (1990). “Structural factor” in primary and secondary hypertension. *Hypertension*, 16(1), 89–101. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.hyp.16.1.89>
- Foster, J., & Ryan, A. (2022). *Law Enforcement* (pp. 157–174). Igi Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-5097-0.ch007>
- Gilabert, P. (2023). *Human Dignity and Social Justice*. Oxford University Pressoxford. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780192871152.001.0001>
- Goni, O. (2022). Introduction to Cyber Crime. *International Journal of Engineering and Artificial Intelligence*, 3(1), 9–23. <https://doi.org/10.55923/jo.ijeal.3.1.701>
- Gopaldas, A., & Deroy, G. (2015). An intersectional approach to diversity research. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 18(4), 333–364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10253866.2015.1019872>
- Górski, M. (2021). Protection of Fundamental Rights as Regards Persons Practising Sports Activity. *Roczniki Administracji i Prawa*, 3(21), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0015.7549>
- Growiec, J. (2022). *Challenges of the Digital Era* (pp. 141–154). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-07195-9\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-07195-9_10)
- Gupta, A., Uygun, H., Gujrati, R., & Gupta, D. (2022). *Digitalization and Urbanization* (pp. 135–146). Igi Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-8705-8.ch012>
- Halberstam, C. (2016). Legal Justice or Social Justice? *Journal of Ancient Judaism*, 7(3), 397–422. <https://doi.org/10.30965/21967954-00703006>
- Hardiyati, M., Hasanah, A. W., & Rohman, N. (2023). Analisis Kesejahteraan Sosial Sebagai Disiplin Ilmu. *Icodev: Indonesian Community Development Journal*, 4(2), 89–94. <https://doi.org/10.24090/icodev.v4i2.9759>



- Hasmiati, R. A., Yulianingrum, A. V., & Ardha, D. J. (2025). Civilized Legal Justice: A Study of Legal Justice Construction in Indonesian Positive Law Based on Adab Values. *Al-Adl : Jurnal Hukum*, 17(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.31602/al-adl.v17i1.14670>
- Heffron, R. J. (2021). *The Evolution of Energy Law* (pp. 61–74). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77521-6\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77521-6_4)
- Hibbert, N. (2017). Human Rights and Social Justice. *Laws*, 6(2), 7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/laws6020007>
- Holst, S., & Holmer, T. (2002). *Continuous evaluation of web-based cooperative learning*. 646. <https://doi.org/10.3115/1658616.1658766>
- Ikizer, E. G., & Dovidio, J. F. (2024). *Affirmative Action*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780199828340-0324>
- Kozhevnikov, V. V. (2021). About Law Enforcement. *Polit Journal: Scientific Journal of Politics*, 1(2), 69–73. <https://doi.org/10.33258/polit.v1i2.446>
- Legislation & Regulation. (1995). *American Pharmacy*, 35(2), 8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0160-3450\(15\)30217-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0160-3450(15)30217-8)
- Liu, X., Li, J., Bu, Y., & Li, M. (2023). Monodisciplinary collaboration disrupts science more than multidisciplinary collaboration. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 75(1), 59–78. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24840>
- Lloyd Bright, K., & McNicholl, M. (2021). The Open University Law School's Public Legal Education in Prisons: Contributing to Rehabilitative Prison Culture. *International Journal of Public Legal Education*, 5(1), 94–131. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijple.v5i1.1123>
- Lukas, K. (2021). *Non-Discrimination* (pp. 340–345). Edward Elgar. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781789903645.00048>
- Matsuoka, A., & Sorenson, J. (2014). *Social Justice beyond Human Beings: Trans-species Social Justice* (pp. 64–79). Palgrave Macmillan Uk. [https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137372291\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137372291_5)
- Messing, S. (2024). S12 Optimising health enhancing physical activity by using a human rights-based approach. *The European Journal of Public Health*, 34(Suppl 2). <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckae114.250>
- Morse, S. (2020). Public Legal Education - sharing best practice in changing times. *International Journal of Public Legal Education*, 4(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijple.v4i1.966>

- Muladi, M. (2021). International Criminal Court (Icc) in Comparison With The Indonesian Human Rigths Court. *Indonesian Journal of International Law*, 1(4). <https://doi.org/10.17304/ijil.vol1.4.561>
- Nasri, A. T., Renggong, R., & Hasan, Y. A. (2023). Efektivitas Penerapan E-Court. *Indonesian Journal of Legality of Law*, 5(2), 265–272. <https://doi.org/10.35965/ijlf.v5i2.2661>
- Newbery-Jones, C. (2022). ‘The Changes that Face Us’: Science Fiction as (Public) Legal Education. *Law, Technology and Humans*, 4(2), 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.5204/lthj.2488>
- Olatokun, A. M. (2022). The Journey to Legal Capability: Challenges for Public Law from Public Legal Education. *International Journal of Public Legal Education*, 6(1), 28–54. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijple.v6i1.1294>
- Roper, V. (2018). Blogs as a teaching tool and method of Public Legal Education: a case study. *International Journal of Public Legal Education*, 2(1), 46. <https://doi.org/10.19164/ijple.v2i1.707>
- Sandefur, R. L. (2021). *Access to justice* (pp. 324–335). Edward Elgar. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788117777.00035>
- Sinaga, E. J. (2019). Layanan Hukum Legalisasi dalam Upaya Memberikan Kepastian Hukum. *Jurnal Penelitian Hukum De Jure*, 19(1), 85. <https://doi.org/10.30641/dejure.2019.v19.85-96>
- Sowers, E. (2024). *Economic Globalization*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/obo/9780199756384-0271>
- Staniforth, A. (2017). *Policing Cyber Crime*. Oxford University. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198723905.003.0004>
- Stebek, E. N. (2019). Ethiopia’s Justice System Reform at Crossroads: Impediments relating to Institutional Continuity, Ethnic Politics and the Land Regime. *Mizan Law Review*, 12(2), 259. <https://doi.org/10.4314/mlr.v12i2.2>
- Sudirman, A. (2023). *kepastian hukum*. Center for Open Science. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/xnpy6>
- Supriadi, A. (2020). The Role of the Sub Directorate of Cyber Crime, Ditreskrimsus in Investigating Crime of Cyber Crime. *Law Development Journal*, 2(3), 412. <https://doi.org/10.30659/ldj.2.3.412-418>

- Syaputra, A., & Hasanah, E. (2022). Learning Strategies In The Digital Era. *International Journal of Educational Management and Innovation*, 3(1), 74–83. <https://doi.org/10.12928/ijemi.v3i1.5507>
- Tania, A. M. (2023). *Managing change and Inovation*. Center for Open Science. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/4tnsp>
- Vasquez, R. (2021). *Law Enforcement Intelligence Process* (pp. 131–151). Emerald. <https://doi.org/10.1108/s2040-726220210000024007>
- Vaurs-Chaumette, A.-L. (2015). *Provisional Release in International Criminal Proceedings: The Limits of the Influence of Human Rights Law* (pp. 131–144). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12021-8\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12021-8_10)
- Vynokurov, V. V. (2021). Human Rights. Protection and enforcement. *Al'manah Prava*, 12, 141–148. <https://doi.org/10.33663/2524-017x-2021-12-24>
- Wambrau, J. S. (2022). Implementasi E-Court dalam Proses Beracara di Pengadilan. *JlHK*, 4(1), 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.46924/jlHK.v4i1.160>
- Wang, L., Yuan, X., Zhang, Y., & Liu, T. (2016). A Methodology for Continuous Evaluation of Cloud Resiliency. *American Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 9(2), 264–273. <https://doi.org/10.3844/ajeassp.2016.264.273>
- Washil, I., & Fata, A. K. (2018). HAM Islam dan Duham PBB: Sebuah Ikhtiar Mencari Titik Temu. *MIQOT: Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Keislaman*, 41(2). <https://doi.org/10.30821/miqot.v41i2.394>
- Wu, M.-C. (2007). *Continuous Evaluation of Information System Development* (pp. 179–207). Springer Us. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-46364-3\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-46364-3_7)
- Yadav, D. (2025). Human Rights Protection. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(4). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i04.51897>
- Yan, X.-Q., Chen, J., Xiong, Y., Li, Y., & Li, W.-Q. (2016). A method of implementing formalized multidisciplinary collaboration in product conceptual design process. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, 231(18), 3342–3357. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0954406216646399>
- Yu, F., Zhou, B., Gu, N., & Lu, T. (2018). *Research on Data Provenance Model for Multidisciplinary Collaboration* (pp. 32–49). Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-3044-5\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-3044-5_3)

Zhesko, E., & Petrukhin, L. (2024). Intersectional Approach in Social Inequality Research: Group Project. *Inter*, 16(2), 113–128. <https://doi.org/10.19181/inter.2024.16.2.6>

Zuhri, M. F. (2021). Omnibus Law: Inovasi Dalam Bertradisi Hukum (Sisi Lain Undang-Undang Cipta Kerja). *MAGISTRA Law Review*, 2(01), 1. <https://doi.org/10.35973/malrev.v2i1.1852>